

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/973,560	10/09/2001	Mark A. Johnson	RD-28,600	7497
7	590 08/19/2003			
John S. Beulick			EXAMINER	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600			THOMAS, COURTNEY D	
One Metropolitan Sq. St. Louis, MO 63102		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2882	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ar ar			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/973,560	JOHNSON ET AL.				
ب د	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Courtney Thomas	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	c rrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper relich places the application	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ replace the application in condition for allow 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	wance because: See Continuation	Sheet.				
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-12.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:		- Aug E Cl	end			

Craig E. Church Primary Examiner C ntinuati n Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/973,560

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Faul et al. disclose a system comprising an X-ray source, detector, patient table and voice control system. As noted in Paper 10, Faul et al. (U.S. Patent 4,440,606) do not explicitly disclose a monitor for displaying images and a voice control system for controlling playback of images. Examiner notes that the system is configured such that the components of the system can be actuated by voice command (see abstract; column 3, lines 34-41) and further considers an audio amplifier an inherent device within a microphone whose primary function is to receive and amplify acoustical signals. In order to overcome the above noted deficiencies of the Faul et al. reference, Mattson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,303,148) is applied for its relevance to practitioners in radiology, surgery and others (column 1, lines 14-18; column 53-55). Mattson et al. teach a system comprising a monitor for displaying images and a voice control system configured to control playback of images. Mattson et al. teach that such a system enables the hands free viewing of selected images on a display while allowing a user to simultaneously carry out additional tasks. An immediate benefit of such a system can be realized in medical procedures such as surgery as taught by Mattson et al.